

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/740,584	12/18/2000	Jeffrey Morgan Alden	GP-301022	6367
75	90 05/04/2005		EXAM	INER
General Motors Corporation			ECKERT II, GEORGE C	
Legal Staff	-			
Mail Code 482-C23-B21			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 300			2815	
Detroit, MI 48	3265-3000			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

rA	
40	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	09/740,584	ALDEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	George C. Eckert II	2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timety filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 January 2005</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers	oloodon roquilomenti						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
•	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						

Application/Control Number: 09/740,584 Page 2

Art Unit: 2815

### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment dated January 18, 2005 in which claims 1, 8 and 13 were amended has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-12 are drawn to a process that does nothing more than manipulate an idea. Specifically, the claims *define* entities, *perform mathematical* or other *processes* on the entities, and *analyze* changes in the entities but do not claim a practical application; the claims do not produce a concrete, useful and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter. The claims remain broad enough to read on an algorithm or series of mental steps, despite their usefulness. And again, product claims 13-19 read on software subroutines that also do not support statutory subject matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

Art Unit: 2815

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by '933 to Rappaport et al. Rappaport teaches, with reference to figures 1-3, a method of analyzing a submodel of a full system model comprising the steps of:

defining the sub-model as a collection of data entities (by defining a room or building floor plan layout. Rappaport teaches that the walls or other physical parameters are "data" entities which are part of a sub-model e.g. one floor of a building, para. 0073; alternatively, the results of preliminary or a first set of calculations may be considered "data" entities, e.g. determining electrical performance values based on a given input of RF sources) and calculation entities (Rappaport teaches that the sub-model includes "calculation" entities such as electrical sources of RF noise, para. 0075 or the attenuation and other characteristics of the internal walls, para, 0073) and that certain "calculation" entities are converted into temporary data entities (Rappaport teaches that windows are assigned specific values such as 2dB penetration loss although that value would necessarily change based on many varying factors (e.g. weather)), identifying output entities as calculation entities that do not have an output to another entity (the entities such as received signal strength are output entities without output to another entity, para. 0075); and visually analyzing changes in the sub-model in response to performing the calculations for the calculation entities, wherein visually analyzing the changes in the sub-model includes analyzing changes in the size of the data entities (Rappaport teaches that visual analysis is performed after the calculations are performed (para. 0079) and that the analysis includes comparing changes in the size of data entities (para. 0081)).

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George C. Eckert II whose telephone number is (571) 272-1728.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/740,584

Art Unit: 2815

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GEORGE ECKERT
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5